From the

INTERNATIONAL	SEADCHING	ATITUODITY
IN I EKNA I IONAL	SEARCHING	AUTHORITY

To: ALAN S. HODES MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 755 PAGE MILL ROAD PALO ALTO CA 94040

PCT

755 PAGE MILL ROAD PALO ALTO, CA 94040		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
(PCT Rule 4		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	27 APR 2005	
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER ACTION		
509902000440 International application No. Inte		See paragraph 2 below		
		e (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/US04/23934 23 J International Patent Classification (IPC) or both	July 2004 (23.07.200	04)	23 July 2003 (23.07.2003)	
	ui nationai ciassinca	uion and IPC		
IPC(7): G06F and US Cl.: 709/206-207 Applicant				
FINDBASE LLC				
1. This opinion contains indications relating	to the following iten	ns:		
Box No. I Basis of the opini	Box No. I Basis of the opinion			
Box No. II Priority				
Box No. III Non-establishmer	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
[Lack of unity of invention			
Box No. V Reasoned stateme applicability; cital	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
Box No. VI Certain document	s cited	5		
Box No. VII Certain defects in	the international app	pplication		
Box No. VIII Certain observation	ons on the internation			
2. FURTHER ACTION				
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.				
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.				
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.				
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.				
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US				
Mail Stop PCT, Atm: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents		Jack Harvey James R. Matthick		
P.O. Box 1450				
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230	Telephone No. (703)305-3900			

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.	
PCT/US04/23934	

Box N	o. I Basis of this opinion
1. With	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which s filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
lt w	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With claim	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the ned invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a.	type of material
l.	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b.	format of material
	in written format
	in computer readable form
c.	time of filing/furnishing
	contained in international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additi	ional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/23934

1. Statement Novelty (N) Claims Claims Inventive step (IS) Claims Claims Claims Industrial applicability (IA) Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Claims Industrial applicability (IA) Claims Claims Claims Industrial applicability (IA) Claims Claims Industrial applicability (IA) Claims Claims Industrial applicability (IA) Claims Industrial applicability Indust	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
Inventive step (IS) Claims 1 Claims 1 NO Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1 Claims 1 Claims 1 NO Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1 NO Claims NO Claims NO Claims NO Claims NO Claims NO Claims NO NO Claims NO Claims NO Claims NO NO Claims NO Claims NO Claims NO Claims NO NO Claims NO Claims NO NO Claims NO Claims NO Claims NO Claims NO Claims NO Claims NO NO Claims NO Claims NO Claims NO NO Cla	1. Statement				
Inventive step (IS) Claims 1	Novelty (N)	Claims NONE	YES		
Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1 Claims 1 YES Claims NONE 1 VES Claims NONE NO Claims 1 NO Claims NONE NO Claims NONE NO Claims NONE NO Claims NONE NO Claims 1 NO Claims 1 NO Claims NONE NO Claims 1 NO Claims 50-651 NO Claims 50-651 Cunquan in view of (US 5651069) Rogaway. Zunquan teaches about determining a source destination path (Col 3, lines 45-55). Zunquan does not explicitly teach about assessing a probabilityRogaway teaches on this aspect (Col 5, lines 50-65). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have been motivated to make the above-mentioned modification for the reasons discussed in Rogaway (Col 1, lines 40-50).	Novelty (11)				
Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1 Claims 1 YES Claims NONE 1 Claims 1 NO Claims NONE NO Claims 1 lacks inventive step under PCT article 33(3) as being obvious over (US 5771292) Zunquan in view of (US 5651069) Rogaway. Zunquan teaches about determining a source destination path (Col 3, lines 45-55). Zunquan does not explicitly teach about assessing a probabilityRogaway teaches on this aspect (Col 5, lines 50-65). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have been motivated to make the above-mentioned modification for the reasons discussed in Rogaway (Col 1, lines 40-50).					
Industrial applicability (IA) Claims Claims NONE Claims YES Claims NONE NO Claims NO Claims	Inventive step (IS)				
Claims NONE 2. Citations and explanations: Claim 1 lacks inventive step under PCT article 33(3) as being obvious over (US 5771292) Zunquan in view of (US 5651069) Rogaway. Zunquan teaches about determining a source destination path (Col 3, lines 45-55). Zunquan does not explicitly teach about assessing a probability. Rogaway teaches on this aspect (Col 5, lines 50-65). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have been motivated to make the above-mentioned modification for the reasons discussed in Rogaway (Col 1, lines 40-50).		Claims 1	NO		
Claims NONE 2. Citations and explanations: Claim 1 lacks inventive step under PCT article 33(3) as being obvious over (US 5771292) Zunquan in view of (US 5651069) Rogaway. Zunquan teaches about determining a source destination path (Col 3, lines 45-55). Zunquan does not explicitly teach about assessing a probabilityRogaway teaches on this aspect (Col 5, lines 50-65). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have been motivated to make the above-mentioned modification for the reasons discussed in Rogaway (Col 1, lines 40-50).	V 1 (c) licabilitae (TA)	Claims 1	YES		
2. Citations and explanations: Claim 1 lacks inventive step under PCT article 33(3) as being obvious over (US 5771292) Zunquan in view of (US 5651069) Rogaway. Zunquan teaches about determining a source destination path (Col 3, lines 45-55). Zunquan does not explicitly teach about assessing a probabilityRogaway teaches on this aspect (Col 5, lines 50-65). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have been motivated to make the above-mentioned modification for the reasons discussed in Rogaway (Col 1, lines 40-50).	Industrial applicability (IA)				
Claim 1 lacks inventive step under PCT article 33(3) as being obvious over (US 5771292) Zunquan in view of (US 5651069) Rogaway. Zunquan teaches about determining a source destination path (Col 3, lines 45-55). Zunquan does not explicitly teach about assessing a probabilityRogaway teaches on this aspect (Col 5, lines 50-65). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have been motivated to make the above-mentioned modification for the reasons discussed in Rogaway (Col 1, lines 40-50).		<u></u>			
	Rogaway. Zunquan teaches about determining a so about assessing a probabilityRogaway teaches on invention would have been motivated to make the a 40-50).	urce destination path (Col. 3, lines 45-55). his aspect (Col. 5, lines 50-65). One of ore	dinary skill in the art at the time of		